

CHARLES D. BAKER

KARYN E. POLITO LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

MIKE KENNEALY SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

# Commonwealth of Massachusetts Division of Professional Licensure Office of Public Safety and Inspections

1000 Washington Street • Boston • Massachusetts • 02118

EDWARD A. PALLESCHI UNDERSECRETARY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS REGULATION

DIANE M. SYMONDS COMMISSIONER, DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE

# Board of Building Regulations and Standards (BBRS)

May 7, 2019 Meeting

# 1000 Washington Street, Boston 02118 Conference Room 1D

Chairman Couture opened the public hearing portion of the meeting at approximately 10:15 a.m.

Chairman Couture took roll call as follows:

John Couture, Chair	$\sqrt{\text{present } \square \text{ absent}}$	Peter Ostroskey*	$\sqrt{\text{present } \square \text{ absent }}$
Kerry Dietz, Vice Chair	$\sqrt{\text{present } \square \text{ absent}}$	Michael McDowell	$\sqrt{\text{present } \square \text{ absent }}$
Richard Crowley, Second V. Chair	$\sqrt{\text{present } \square \text{ absent}}$	Susan Gleason	□ present √ absent
Kevin Gallagher	□ present √ absent	Lisa Davey	$\sqrt{\text{present } \square \text{ absent }}$
Cheryl Lavalley	$\sqrt{\text{present } \square \text{ absent}}$	Steve Frederickson	$\sqrt{\text{present } \square \text{ absent }}$
Robert Anderson	√ present □ absent		

#### General notes on format of these minutes

- These minutes represent general points provided as testimony during the public hearing portion and \or member and audience discussion during the public hearing and regular meeting session. The minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of discussions.
- *Votes are noted as MOTION by, seconded by, and whether it was a unanimous or split vote.*
- Agenda topics as numbered may be in the same order as they appear on the meeting agenda.
- The meeting agenda is listed as **EXHIBIT A**; others are listed sequentially as addresses during the meeting.

### **Public Hearing Portion**

1. **Public Hearing.** In keeping with tradition, Chairman Couture allowed any member of the legislature or representative of another governmental body testify out-of-order on any code change proposal or concept.

Accordingly, Senator Joanne Comerford submitted written testimony (**EXHIBIT B**) signed by numerous state senators and representatives and offered oral comment on a concept to achieve net zero energy use buildings via the commonwealth's stretch energy code. Senator Comerford indicated that approximately

 <sup>\*</sup> Jen Hoyt participated as the designee for State Fire Marshal, Peter Ostroskey.

250 of the 351 cities\towns in the commonwealth have adopted stretch energy code requirements through the *Green Communities Act*. Many communities desire to strengthen energy code requirements beyond the stretch code, but feel restricted by the current code. Nonetheless, the Town of Amherst has already adopted net zero energy requirements. Senator Comerford cited an *International Panel on Climate Change* (IPCC) report indicating that citizens of the commonwealth and elsewhere will experience catastrophic impacts to health, the economy and ecosystems if the climate continues to warm at current levels. The report advises speedy action to mitigate the effects of climate change. Consequently, Senator Comerford so urged Board members to adopt net zero stretch code requirements.

Carol Oldman from the *Massachusetts Climate Action Network* submitted written comment (**EXHIBIT** C) and oral testimony echoing Senator Comerford's request to require net zero energy use code requirements in the near future.

Both Chairman Couture and board member, Michael McDowell, clarified that building owners, designers and contractors are not hampered by the building code with regard to net zero energy efficiency initiatives or any other matter. They are free to design and construct buildings in excess of code requirements, they just cannot dip below minimum code standards.

Senator Comerford and Ms. Oldman acquiesced that people are free to exceed code standards, but were concerned that, if not compelled to achieve higher standards, would decide not to do so. Additionally, Senator Comerford advocated for consistent code standards in order to achieve consistent results.

Board member, Richard Crowley, indicated that Massachusetts general law allows municipal authorities to petition members of the Board of Building Regulations and Standards (BBRS) if they desire to impose more restrictive construction standards than that prescribed by the code. However, there has to be a reason for the request.

In response to questions from Board members, Ms. Oldman indicated that California has initiated standards to achieve net zero energy use buildings.

Since a formal code change proposal was not presented, Chairman Couture paused further testimony with regard to net zero energy requirements so that Board members could review and allow testimony on the 5 written proposals received and docketed for the hearing. Chairman Couture informed audience members that he would allow further testimony on net zero or any other topic of interest when testimony on the referenced 5 proposals was completed.

With that said, Chairman Couture called for testimony relating to:

• **Proposal Number 5-1-2019** – Consider revising Chapter 34, Section 302.6 pertaining to masonry parapets.

**Proponent:** Corey Matthews, P.E., Gale Associates, Inc.

Proponent Corey Matthews was not present to testify, but OPSI Technical Code Coordinator, Cesar Lastra, indicated that proposal was discussed by members of the Structural Advisory Committee (SAC) as part of their tenth edition review efforts. SAC members suggest that it be made part of Chapter 1 inspection requirements.

At this time, Massachusetts Representative, Tami Gouveia arrived and, in keeping with tradition, was allowed to speak out-of-order with regard to the concept of net zero energy code requirements. Representative Gouveia echoed many views offered by Senator Comerford and Ms. Oldman and cautioned that there was limited time to act with regard to climate change issues; that constituents in her and other districts across the commonwealth have asked for action from the state legislature, and, in turn, legislators are asking Board members to take firm action.

In response to a question from Board member, Kerry Dietz, Representative Gouveia indicated that she was not certain what would happen if Board members chose not to take action on the issue, but she suggested that there appears to be great interest and momentum in the legislature for something to happen.

In response to a question from Board members, Kerry Dietz, OPSI Counsel, Charles Kilb, indicated that Board members may be able to meet with state legislators, but such meetings would likely would be subject to open meeting laws.

Following testimony from Representative Gouveia, Chairman Couture asked for testimony on:

• **Proposal Number 5-2-2019** – Consider revising the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) Chapter 13, Section C401.2.4 (as amended) pertaining to vertical fenestration.

**Proponent:** NAIOP of Massachusetts CEO, Tamara Small

Proponent Tamara Small was present and testified that the proposal intends to revert back to prior requirements for wall fenestration requirements. The most recent language adopted by Board members would allow no more than 24% fenestration on a given building. Winthrop Square, a prominent building in the City of Boston, is comprised of wall areas with 47% fenestration, so are many others. Ms. Small suggested that the requirement limits design options and should be reversed.

In contrast, audience member, Jacob Knowles, suggested that window to wall ratio requirements are only one part of energy modeling and designers can be creative to make-up energy savings elsewhere and Energy Advisory Committee (EAC) chairman, Ian Finlayson, indicated that a large sampling of newer buildings constructed in the area were reviewed to determine average window to wall ratios; the average of which turned out to be 24%.

Next, Chairman Couture called for testimony on:

Proposal Number 5-3-2019 – Consider revising the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)
 Chapter 13, Section C405.3.2 (as amended) pertaining to interior lighting allowances.

**Proponent:** NAIOP of Massachusetts CEO, Tamara Small

Proponent Tamara Small testified that Section C405.3.2 as modified and approved by Board members for inclusion in the new energy code goes well beyond 2018 IECC requirements, requiring a light power reduction of 32%. Ms. Small expressed that the general law requires Board members to adopt the latest version of the IECC, but does not suggest that it should be stretched to new limits and therefore encouraged Board members to adopt un-amended 2018 IECC language.

OPSI Building Inspector, William Horrocks, testified that the limited interior lighting allowances are causing some difficulties with regard to emergency procedures.

Kevin Rose, who proposed the more restrictive requirements adopted by Board members, suggested to the revised levels are not extreme. In fact, he continued, studies have demonstrated that buildings in Massachusetts and other parts of the nation are already achieving levels defined by the revised section.

In response to a question by Board member, Kerry Dietz, Counsel Kilb indicated that proposals reviewed during today's hearing could be considered for revision of the ninth edition or inclusion in the tenth.

Chairman Couture then asked for testimony on:

Proposal Number 5-4-2019 – Consider revising the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)
 Chapter 13, Section C406.1 (as amended) pertaining to energy efficiency.

**Proponent:** NAIOP of Massachusetts CEO, Tamara Small

In response to guidance by Counsel Kilb, proponent Tamara Small indicated that Board members advised during their March meeting that proposal could be offered and considered for change of newly adopted energy code provisions prior to implementation on January 1, 2020. Ms. Small indicated that her proposals are intended to revise the new code before it goes into full effect. With regard to this proposal, Ms. Small indicated that the section artificially raises baseline requirements.

In response to a question by Chairman Couture, Ms. Small indicated that she has not met with members of the EAC to discuss concerns thinking that offering change proposals was more appropriate. Ms. Small indicated that, if there is still confusion with regard to new energy code requirements, the process should be slowed.

OPSI Counsel Kilb made it clear that, based on the Board's vote of approval, new energy code provisions are going into effect in January, 2020.

Audience member and AIA representative, John Nunnari, suggested that new code requirements have been under review for over a year and implementation should not be delayed further. Mr. Nunnari indicated that a review by NAIOP and members of the EAC may be good and may help explain new code requirements, but it should not be used as a method to interfere with adoption of new, enhanced energy conservation provisions on January 1, 2020.

Chairman Couture then called for testimony on:

• **Proposal Number 5-5-2019** – Consider revising the International Swimming Pool & Spa Code (ISPSC), Chapter 3, Section 305.1 concerning exemption to barrier requirements.

**Proponent:** BBRS Chairman, John Couture.

Chairman Couture introduced the topic as the proponent, but made it clear that it was not his intention to include hot tubs and\or spas in the discussion. The proposal intends only intends to initiate discussion with regard to barrier requirements for in-ground swimming pools vs. the exemption allowed for power operated pool covers. Chairman Couture indicated that he was not aware that the code allowed installation of a power cover in lieu of a barrier until a permit applicant requested approval. Chairman

Couture expressed concern that since a cover requires activation whereas a fence or other barrier is in place 24\7.

Board member, Steve Frederickson, indicated that the exemption has been available for a while and advocated that we stay consistent with International Code requirements. He further indicated that he has a pool with a fence in his yard, yet a brook, without barrier protection, runs just behind it.

Audience member and pool product manufacturer, Mike Fox, indicated that the majority of injuries and or fatalities occurring in around pols relate to young children who live at the home or are relatives and who are already inside the pool barrier. Mr. Fox agreed that a pool cover must be engaged, but the same is true for a pool gate. The safety cover has been demonstrated to be a deterrent and it is obvious. Mr. Fox indicated that 11 states allow the exception, with only a few recorded instances.

**Pool and Hot Tub Alliance** representative, Charlie Stefanini, testified and submitted written testimony (**EXHIBIT D**) that he appreciates Chairman Couture's concern, but agreed with Mr. Fox that a power pool cover provides equal or better protection.

Following testimony on the 5 written proposals submitted prior to the hearing, Chairman Couture allowed further testimony on the net zero concept and any other code change proposal that may be offered. In recognition of additional agenda items requiring attention, Chairman Couture asked attendees to limit testimony to 3minutes and to refrain from repetitious testimony.

Audience members and representatives of the City of Cambridge, Boston and Somerville testified in support of net zero code requirements expressing that the mayors of their respective cities have established aggressive goals to achieve carbon neutrality over the next several years; each believes the code needs to be revised to support these initiatives.

Board member Kerry Dietz recognized the bold initiatives, but indicated that she works as an architect, often involved with affordable housing projects and indicated that there is a cost to achieving net zero buildings and the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) does not take net zero requirements into consideration.

Before moving to other code change topics, numerous other attendees testified in support of adopting net zero code requirements. Some advocated for immediate change to stretch energy code requirements to achieve net zero goals, others requested change to base code requirements over time with targets of 2025 – 2030 to achieve net zero requirements for all buildings. All expressed urgency.

Board member, Jen Hoyt, introduced and distributed a proposal to establish a new special use classification under 780 CMR, Chapter 4 for indoor agriculture facilities. Jen expressed that the proposal stems from her work with the Board's Cannabis Study Group (CSG). Jen mentioned it is intended as a starting point for discussions on a special use category and suggested that it should be reviewed by the CSG.

Mike McDowell expressed concern with the proposal indicating that it may upset the farming community.

Following a brief discussion, it was agreed to forward the proposal to GSG.

On a **MOTION** by Mike McDowell seconded by Kerry Dietz it was unanimously voted to close the public hearing portion of the meeting @ approximately 1:05 p.m.

## **Regular Meeting Portion**

- 2. **BBRS Minutes.** On a **MOTION** by Jen Hoyt seconded by Mike McDowell it was voted in the majority to approve the minutes for the April 2, 2019 Board of Building and Regulations and Standards (BBRS) meeting as submitted (**EXHIBIT E**).
- 3. **BOCC Minutes.** Board members tabled action on the April 1, 2019 Building Code Certification Committee (BOCC) minutes.
- 4. Discuss Progress Towards Tenth Edition 780 CMR. Rob Anderson introduced Damian Siebert from the Geotechnical Advisory Committee (GAC) who will present recommended revisions to the International Building Code (IBC), Chapter 18 on behalf on the committee. Rob indicated that GAC Chairman, Chris Erickson, was not able to attend the meeting due to a prior engagement and therefore asked Damian to present recommended changes. Rob indicated that Damian is prepared to review the changes line by line if Board members desired or handle another way.

Chairman Couture indicated that read the entire proposal and was pleased with the intent, suggesting that Board members have a general discussion rather than line by line review.

In response to questions from Board members Kerry Dietz and Steve Frederickson, Damian explained that GAC members reviewed each change made to the 2015 IBC that comprises the ninth edition amendment package in order to determine whether the language remains relevant. In all but a few instances, GAC members believe that the ninth edition changes should be made part of the tenth edition as well since they better provide for specific soils conditions in\around the area. Although GAC members recognize desires to adopt un-amended IBC language if at all possible, Damian indicated that most proposed revisions equate to cost savings for Massachusetts construction projects. For example, Damian continued, presumptive soils bearing pressure values in the IBC are rather generic whereas GAC members offer values more specific to soils conditions in the area.

In response to a question from Board member Rich Crowley, Damian indicated that some suggested changes could be presented to the International Code Council (ICC) for inclusion in the next version. ICC Vice President of Government Relations, Dottie Harris, offered assistance with presenting changes to the ICC.

Chairman Couture and all Board members thanked Damian and GAC members for their work and agreed to move the referenced changes forward to the tenth edition.

5. **Discuss ICC PRONTO exams.** ICC Vice President of Government Relations, Dottie Harris, presented a memorandum dated May 7, 2019 (**EXHIBIT F**) and introduced Michelle Murphey Porter to

address the matter in further detail. Ms. Porter recognized that some Board members and perhaps others may have some concerns with the manner in which PROTO exams are administered. Ms. Porter indicated that the exams afford a candidate with an opportunity to take certification exams in the comfort of his\her home or elsewhere at any time during the day. Exam administrations are closely monitored and statistics indicate that there are virtually no differences in pass rates with PROTO vs. conventional exam administrations. Ms. Porter indicated that, like most processes, there are some incidents requiring attentions, but they are few; usually about 6 – 9 issues per year out of 50,000 exams administered.

Chairman Couture thanked Ms. Harris and Porter for the presentation and indicated that there was some concern with the legitimacy and manner in which the exam is administered, but those concerns have been adequately addressed.

OPSI Counsel Kilb indicated that Board members had already approved the process in 2017, so no further action is required unless Board members wanted to take a differing stance.

All agreed that concerns have been addressed and the approval stands.

- 6. Discuss Progress of the Manufactured Buildings Study Group. Rob Anderson indicated that the group has not for the past few months due to illness and\or scheduling issues, but should be ready to report final recommendations in June.
- 7. Discuss approval of 174 new CSLs issued in the month of March, 2019. Board members acknowledged approval of the new CSLs issued.
- 8. Discuss CSL Average Passing Score\Medical\Military\ Age or Continuing Education Requirements. On a MOTION by Kerry Dietz, seconded by Mike McDowell it was unanimously voted to approve issuance of a construction supervisor license to Dave Rice based on an average passing score condition.
- 9. Discuss other matters not reasonably anticipated 2 business days in advance of meeting. None this month.
- 10. **Executive Session** regarding Michael Humphrey CSL Continuing Education Request.

  On a **MOTION** by Mike McDowell, seconded by Jen Hoyt, a roll call vote was taken to end the regular meeting @ approximately 1:40 p.m. and convene an executive session to discuss the referenced topic, all Board members voted in the affirmative.

#### **EXHIBITS**:

- A. Meeting Agenda.
- B. Senator Joanne Comerford submitted written testimony.
- C. *Massachusetts Climate Action Network* written comment.
- D. *Pool and Hot Tub Alliance* written testimony.
- E. Minutes for April 2, 2019 Board of Building and Regulations and Standards (BBRS) meeting.
- F. Memorandum dated May 7, 2019 regarding **PRONTO** Exams.